Variational Hybrid Quantum Algorithms with Applications to Quantum Chemistry Problems OsloMet – Autumn School on Quantum Computing

Werner Dobrautz Chalmers University of Technology

Oslo, November 7, 2023







My Background and Learning Goals



- What is quantum chemistry concerned with?
- How can quantum computing help quantum chemistry?
- What are hybrid quantum-classical algorithms?
- How can we simulate chemistry on quantum hardware?
- What is the Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VQE)?

- Motivation Quantum Chemistry and Electronic Structure Theory
- The Case for Quantum Computing
 - Gate-based Quantum Computing and the Quantum Circuit Model
- How to do quantum chemistry on quantum hardware?
- Quantum Circuit Ansätze and the Variational Quantum Eigensolver
- Applications and Outlook

Motivation – Quantum Chemistry and Electronic Structure Theory Surprisingly small systems at the center of fascinating physical and chemical effects

High-temperature superconductivity

Zero electrical resistivity



shutterstock; CERN; Tokamak; Wikimedia

Haber-Bosch process: 1-2% global energy consumption, huge CO₂ emission



 \Rightarrow Cheaper and cleaner ammonia production for fertilizers

Wikimedia

Photosynthesis



Manganese-Calcium-Oxygen Clusters

Ala³⁴⁴

:Asp³⁴²

His³³²

Artificial photosynthesis: Carbon capture and hydrogen and oxygen for fuel cell Wikimedia; Wikimedia

Applications of Quantum Algorithms: Ab Initio Quantum Chemistry







YBCO: Unconventional high- T_c superconductivity

FeMoCo: primary cofactor of nitrogenase \rightarrow nitrogen fixation

Manganese-Calcium-Oxygen Clusters: Oxygen evolving clusters in photosystem II

Surprisingly small systems responsible for interesting physical/chemical properties!

Strong electron correlation \Rightarrow challenging systems for computational approaches!

Accurate theoretical understanding at nano-scale for bottom-up materials design!

Ab Initio Quantum Chemistry – Electronic Structure Theory

To obtain insight on the **physical** and **chemical properties** (ground- and excited state energies, energy differences, response functions, ...) of quantum systems we need to **solve the Schrödinger equation:**

$$\hat{H} \left| \Psi \right\rangle = E \left| \Psi \right\rangle,$$

where all necessary information of a quantum system contained in electronic **molecular Hamiltonian**:

$$\hat{H} = \underbrace{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_{i}}^{2}}_{\text{kinetic energy of } e^{-}} - \underbrace{\sum_{I,j} \frac{Z_{I}}{|\mathbf{R}_{I} - \mathbf{r}_{j}|}}_{\text{Attr. potential}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}|}}_{e^{-} - e^{-} \text{ repulsion}} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{O}} \underbrace{R_{Ij}}_{\mathbf{r}_{ij}} \mathbf{A}$$

Coulomb repulsion correlates all electrons of a system \rightarrow analytic solution too complex \rightarrow approximations and computational approaches

Accuracy and cost – scaling and hierarchy of methods

Depending how accurately we treat correlation: various methods and **levels of theory** to solve the Schrödinger equation



Need highly-accurate methods to describe strongly correlated problems

Cytochrome c: enzyme that eliminates toxic radicals produced by cells. From Santagati et al., arXiv:2301.04114

Ab Initio Quantum Chemistry – Electronic Structure Theory

We have to choose a **basis**/orbitals we perform our calculations in! In quantum chemistry: starting orbitals are most often "atomic-like" orbitals (for each atom):

Second quantized form of molecular Hamiltonian:

 $a_{i,\sigma}^{(\dagger)}$

$$\hat{H} = \underbrace{\sum_{i,j} t_{ij} \sum_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} a_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{j,\sigma}}_{\text{kinetic/hopping term}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i,j,k,l} V_{ijkl} \sum_{\sigma,\tau=\uparrow\downarrow} a_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{j,\tau}^{\dagger} a_{l,\tau} a_{k,\sigma}}_{e^{-} \cdot e^{-} \text{ interaction term}}$$

$$a_{i,\sigma}^{(\dagger)} \text{ annihilates(creates) an electron with spin } \sigma = \{\uparrow,\downarrow\} \text{ in orbital } i. \text{ e.g.} \quad \clubsuit \quad & & & & \\ \text{for 2 electrons in 2 orbitals (=4 spin-orbitals): } a_{1,\uparrow}^{\dagger} a_{2,\downarrow}^{\dagger} |\text{vac}\rangle = |1001\rangle: \quad & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\$$

Exponential scaling of Full Configuration Interaction

 $\mathrm{FCI} \Rightarrow \mathrm{exact}$ solution in a given basis: linear combination of determinants

$$|\Psi\rangle = |\Phi_{HF}\rangle + \sum_{i} c_{i} |\Phi_{i}\rangle$$



All possible excitations from HF determinant

Number of possible states for given number of electrons, N, and orbitals, $n, \sim {N \choose n}$

Mol.	#orbitals	#electrons	#states
H_2	2	2	4
LiH	4	4	36
Be_2	8	8	4900
H_2O	12	12	$\sim 8\cdot 10^5$
C_2H_4	16	16	$\sim 16\cdot 10^6$
\mathbf{F}_2	18	18	$\sim 2\cdot 10^9$
			10

The Case for Quantum Computing

Classical bit

0 1

Quantum bit = qubit

$a \left| 0 \right\rangle + b \left| 1 \right\rangle$

Quantum bit = qubit

 $\begin{aligned} a & |0\rangle + b & |1\rangle \\ & |a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1 \end{aligned}$

Qubits – Bloch Sphere



For flexibility, we need **parametrized** gates, e.g. rotation around axis:

$$R_X(\theta) = \exp(-i\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta/2) & -i\sin(\theta/2) \\ -i\sin(\theta/2) & \cos(\theta/2) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$R_Y(\theta) = \exp(-i\frac{\theta}{2}\hat{Y}) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta/2) & -\sin(\theta/2) \\ \sin(\theta/2) & \cos(\theta/2) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$R_Z(\varphi) = \exp(-i\frac{\varphi}{2}\hat{Z}) = \begin{pmatrix} \exp(-i\varphi/2) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(i\varphi/2) \end{pmatrix}$$



with the Pauli matrices:
$$\hat{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $\hat{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\hat{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

Multiple Qubits

Bringing \mathbf{two} qubits together:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \overbrace{(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)}^{\text{qubit 1}} \otimes \overbrace{(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)}^{\text{qubit 2}} = |00\rangle + |01\rangle + |10\rangle + |11\rangle \qquad 4 \text{ states}$$

Three qubits:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \overbrace{(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)}^{\text{qubit 1}} \otimes \overbrace{(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)}^{\text{qubit 2}} \otimes \overbrace{(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)}^{\text{qubit 3}} = |000\rangle + |001\rangle + |010\rangle + |100\rangle + |011\rangle + |101\rangle + |110\rangle + |111\rangle \qquad 8 \text{ states}$$

n qubits can encode exponentially many (2^n) states.

 \rightarrow Need new **quantum algorithms** to use this potential advantage!

 ≈ 40 qubits enough to encode the $\sim 2 \cdot 10^9$ states of F₂!

Quantum circuit model

Circuit model: Lines represent qubits and similar to classical circuits (AND, OR, ...) we can act with **operations/gates** on one (rotations) or **multiple qubits** (CNOT, ...)



Hadamard gate (H):

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1\\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\frac{\text{Controlled NOT (CNOT):}}{\text{target qubit (}\oplus\text{) is inverted if control qubit (}\cdot\text{) is in }|1\rangle \text{ state}}$

Exercise: what is the state $|\Phi\rangle$ at the end of the above circuit?

Parametrized gates $(\mathbf{R}_x(\theta), \dots)$ and multi-qubit gates allow us to prepare flexible **entangled** (non-classical) states, $|\Psi(\theta)\rangle = \hat{U}(\theta) |0\rangle$.



Noisy intermediate-scale quantum - NISQ



@ Chalmers



3 qubit device @Chalmers



Qubits rely on quantum effects \rightarrow very fragile, easily influenced by environmental effects/noise. Need to isolate and cool them close to absolute zero! Only few of them...

Benioff, J. Stat. Phys. 22 (5), 563 (1980); Feynman, Int. J. Theo. Phys. 21 (6/7), 467 (1982); Preskill, Quantum 2, 79 (2018); Kantz et al.. Applied Physics Reviews 6, 021318 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Current quantum hardware has many flaws: noise, decoherence and limited} \\ \mbox{number of qubits} \rightarrow \mbox{Hybrid quantum-classical approach} \end{array}$



Use pros of both CPUs and QPUs:

- Use short-depth quantum circuits that fit current hardware
- Can **improve on classical** estimates by non-classical states
- Store quantum state with **exponentially fewer resources**
- Use CPU to i.e. optimize gate parameters, $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

How to do quantum chemistry on quantum hardware?

Quantum Chemistry on Quantum Computers

- 1. Map fermionic Hamiltonian/basis functions onto quantum hardware/qubits*
- 2. Choose an 'Ansatz'
- 3. Use quantum algorithms for ground-, excited states, dynamics, ...



quantum algorithm on the qubits c) Measure observables of interest, $\langle \hat{H} \rangle$)

^{*}Jordan-Wigner, Bravyi-Kitaev, (Ann. Phys. **298**, 210 (2002)), Parity encoding ...

Example: Classic solution of hydrogen molecule – H_2

Hydrogen molecule in a "minimal" basis set: 1s orbital for each hydrogen. 4-dimensional Hilbert space for two electrons in two orbitals (4 spin-orbitals).



Solution: Construct matrix representation of quantum chemistry Hamiltonian in this basis, $H_{ij} = \langle i | \hat{H} | j \rangle$, \rightarrow diagonalize \rightarrow exact solution in given basis

Example: Hydrogen molecule – H_2 – PES



Groundstate wavefunction at equilibrium: $|\Psi_0\rangle = 0.995 |1100\rangle - 0.105 |0011\rangle$ Groundstate wavefunction at dissociation: $|\Psi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1100\rangle - |0011\rangle)$

Encoding the problem on a quantum computer

Qubits can quite naturally store the occupation of an spin-orbital: $|0\rangle$ -state/ $\begin{pmatrix} 1\\0 \end{pmatrix}$: empty, $|1\rangle$ -state/ $\begin{pmatrix} 0\\1 \end{pmatrix}$: occupied

Also: action of creation and annihilation operators representable by Pauli matrices:

$$\hat{a}^{\dagger} |0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hat{X} - i\hat{Y}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = |1\rangle$$
$$\hat{a} |1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hat{X} + i\hat{Y}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = |0\rangle,$$

with the Pauli matrices

$$\hat{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

However:

• For Fermions, the Pauli exclusion principle requires the wavefunction to be **anti-symmetric** under the exchange of two particles:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_i,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_j,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_N) = -\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_j,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_i,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_N)$$

• While Bosonic wave functions are symmetric under the exchange of particles

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_i,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_j,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_N) = +\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_j,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_i,\ldots,\mathbf{r}_N)$$

Anti-symmetry/Anti-commutation relations

Electrons are **indistinguishable Fermions**, with anti-symmetric wavefunction and anti-commuting creation/annihilation operators:

$$\left\{a_{i}^{\dagger},a_{j}^{\dagger}
ight\}=0, \qquad a_{i}^{\dagger}a_{j}^{\dagger}=-a_{j}^{\dagger}a_{i}^{\dagger}$$

Unlike **individually addressable** qubits, with Pauli operators:

$$\left(\frac{X_i - iY_i}{2}\right) \left(\frac{X_j - iY_j}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{X_j - iY_j}{2}\right) \left(\frac{X_i - iY_i}{2}\right)$$

Task: We need to map the **fermionic** Hamiltonian to a **qubit** Hamiltonian in terms of Pauli operators:

$$\hat{H}_f = \sum V_{ijkl} a_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{j,\tau}^{\dagger} a_{l,\tau} a_{k,\sigma} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{H}_q = \sum_i c_i \hat{P}_i$$

Jordan Wigner Mapping

Note that the following Pauli operators anti-commute:

$$\hat{Z}\hat{X} = -\hat{X}\hat{Z}, \qquad \hat{Z}\hat{Y} = -\hat{Y}\hat{Z}$$

Exercise: convince yourself of equation above

Jordan-Wigner encoding:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1}^{\dagger} &= \frac{X_{1} - iY_{1}}{2} \\ a_{2}^{\dagger} &= Z_{1} \left(\frac{X_{2} - iY_{2}}{2} \right) \\ a_{3}^{\dagger} &= Z_{1}Z_{2} \left(\frac{X_{3} - iY_{3}}{2} \right) \\ a_{i}^{\dagger} &= \bigotimes_{j=1}^{i-1} Z_{j} \left(\frac{X_{i} - iY_{i}}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- Fix anti-symmetry by tracking parity/phase before each creation/annihilation operator with \hat{Z}_i
- Exercise: Demonstrate anti-symmetry of JW-encoded $a_3^{\dagger}a_2^{\dagger} = -a_2^{\dagger}a_3^{\dagger}!$

$\mathbf{JW} \ \mathbf{Mapping} - \mathbf{Recap}$



https://qiskit.org/documentation/nature/tutorials/01_electronic_structure.html

What is the state of this quantum circuit?



Try: IBM Quantum Composer

More general quantum circuits – Ansätze

 H_2 groundstate wavefunction at equilibrium:

 $|\Psi_0\rangle = 0.995 \, |1100\rangle - 0.105 \, |0011\rangle + 0 \cdot |1001\rangle + 0 \cdot |0110\rangle$

Need a more general "Ansatz", $\hat{U}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) | \mathbf{0} \rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(\boldsymbol{\theta})\rangle &= \hat{U}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) |0000\rangle \\ &\stackrel{!}{=} c_1 |1100\rangle + c_2 |0011\rangle \\ &+ c_3 |1001\rangle + c_4 |0110\rangle \end{aligned}$$



Given a general quantum Ansatz $\hat{U}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$: We need algorithms to find the optimal gate parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$! The variational quantum eigensolver (VQE) can find the **most** optimal parameters/angles $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ to minimize the energy expectation value:

$$E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left< \mathbf{0} \right| \hat{U}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \hat{H} \hat{U}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \left| \mathbf{0} \right>$$

Quantum Circuit Ansätze and the Variational Quantum Eigensolver

Ansatz for the quantum chemistry wavefunction

In general: an \mathbf{Ansatz} is a quantum circuit with parametrized gates

Desired in Ansätze:

- Expressive spans large and correct portion of Hilbert space
- Small number of qubits
- Short-depth
- \rightarrow Hardware efficient

Consideration due to noise and coherence times:

- Circuit depth
- Circuit connectivity
- Number of parameters
- Number of 2-qubit gates
- Gate types (Native to hardware?)



30

Ansatz for the quantum chemistry wavefunction:s $\ket{\Psi(\boldsymbol{\theta})} = \hat{U}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \ket{\mathbf{0}}$

Hardware efficient Ansätze:

- Gates directly tailored for the specific quantum device
- Spans a very large portion of the Fock space (inefficient)
- Large number of parameters, hard to optimize, "barren plateaus"

$$|\Psi(\boldsymbol{\theta})\rangle = \prod_{i}^{d} \left[\hat{U}_{ent} \hat{U}_{rot}(\{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}\}) \right] |\psi_{init}\rangle$$

$$0 - \frac{R_{y}(\theta_{1})}{R_{y}(\theta_{2})} - \frac{\Phi}{R_{y}(\theta_{3})} - \frac$$

Chemically/Physically motivated:

- Chemistry-inspired exponential Ansatz: Unitary coupled cluster Ansatz
- Encode excitations of electrons between different orbitals, $|1100\rangle \rightarrow |0011\rangle$
- Not hardware efficient, deep circuits
- Less parameters, easier to optimize

$$|\Psi(\boldsymbol{\theta})\rangle = \mathrm{e}^{\hat{T}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \hat{T}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{\theta})} |\psi_{HF}\rangle$$



 \Rightarrow Adaptive Ansätze^{*}

Lun et al., PRX Quantum 2, 020310; Grimsley et al., Nat Commun 10, 3007

Ground state, $|\Psi_0\rangle$, is fundamental in quantum chemistry and electronic structure theory \rightarrow used to calculate all sort of properties, like reaction rates and reaction pathways

Our goal is to estimate: $\hat{H} |\Psi_0\rangle = E_0 |\Psi_0\rangle \implies \langle \Psi_0 | \hat{H} |\Psi_0\rangle = E_0$

Variational principle: an arbitrary state, $|\Psi(\theta)\rangle$, the expectation value of \hat{H} , will be an upper bound to E_0

 $\langle \Psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) | \hat{H} | \Psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rangle = E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq E_0$

Leverage pros of both classical and quantum computers:



O'Malley et al. Phys. Rev. X 6, 03100

Variational Quantum Eigensolver

VQE: Efficiently prepare and encode $|\Psi(\theta)\rangle$ with a suitable Ansatz, $\hat{U}(\theta)$, on **quantum hardware** and measure the expectation values of "Pauli strings", \hat{P}_i . Reconstruct the energy and update the parameters (with some optimizer) on a **classical computer**. Repeat until convergence of $E(\theta) = \langle \Psi(\theta) | \hat{H} | \Psi(\theta) \rangle$



Qiskit Tutorial

Applications and Outlook

 H_2 groundstate wavefunction at equilibrium:

 $|\Psi_0\rangle = 0.995 |1100\rangle - 0.105 |0011\rangle + 0 \cdot |1001\rangle + 0 \cdot |0110\rangle$

Need a general "Ansatz", $\hat{U}(\boldsymbol{\theta})\left|\mathbf{0}\right\rangle$:

 $|\Psi(\boldsymbol{\theta})\rangle = \hat{U}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) |0000\rangle \stackrel{!}{=} c_1(\boldsymbol{\theta}) |1100\rangle + c_2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) |0011\rangle + c_3(\boldsymbol{\theta}) |1001\rangle + c_4(\boldsymbol{\theta}) |0110\rangle$





H_2 VQE Statevector simulation

 H_2 at 0.7 Å in a STO-6G basis using 4 qubits: Default Qiskit VQE settings for different types of optimizers, no noise!



Jupyter Notebook Example

 $LiH - minimal \ basis - 1.6 \text{\AA} - parity \ mapping - HEA \ Ansatz$



Actual experimental results for the Hubbard model on ibmq_lima

• 2-site Hubbard model

• On ibmq_lima

π. 0. π

п, 0, п

 q_0

 q_1

 q_2

 q_3



Sokolov^{*}, **WD**^{*}, Luo, Alavi, Tavernelli, Physical Review Research 5 (2), 023174

Reference-state Error Mitigation

JCTC Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation

Reference-State Error Mitigation: A Strategy for High Accuracy Quantum Computation of Chemistry

Phalgun Lolur,^{||} Mårten Skogh,^{||} Werner Dobrautz, Christopher Warren, Janka Biznárová, Amr Osman, Giovanna Tancredi, Göran Wendin, Jonas Bylander, and Martin Rahm*

Cite This: J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2023, 19, 783–789



- 1. Classically compute exact reference energy (i.e. Hartree-Fock), $E(\theta_{ref})$
- 2. Measure reference energy on noisy device $\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{ref}})$, with reference parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{ref}}$
- 3. Calculate REM correction: $\Delta E_{\text{REM}} = \mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{ref}}) - E(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{ref}})$
- 4. Correct final VQE energy with REM correction

*Lolur, Skogh, WD, Warren, Biznárová, Osman, Tancredi, Wendin, Bylander, and Rahm, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2023, 19, 3, 783

Reference-state Error Mitigation





^{*}Lolur, Skogh, WD, Warren, Biznárová, Osman, Tancredi, Wendin, Bylander, and Rahm, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2023, **19**, 3, 783

- Noise!
- Scaling up!
- Calculations on real-hardware over the cloud
- Error mitigation: Reference-State Error Mitigation: A Strategy for High Accuracy Quantum Computation of Chemistry, with calculations/experiments on Chalmers devices
- Resource reduction: Phys. Rev. Research 5, 023174, arXiv:2303.02007
- Many more...

Algorithms on quantum hardware

On near-term intermediate-scale quantum (NISQ) hardware (low number of qubits and short circuits): hybrid quantum-classical algorithms

• ...

• Quantum Approximate Optimization algorithm (QAOA)

- Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VQE)
- Quantum (Imaginary) Time Evolution
- There is a variety of quantum algorithms for different kind of problems, most are for future **fault-tolerant** quantum hardware with many qubits and deep circuits.
 - Shor's algorithm Encryption
 - Grover's algorithm Database search

- Quantum Fourier Transformation
- Quantum Phase Estimation

QPE: Kitaev, arXiv:quant-ph/9511026 (1995), Nielsen and Chuang, Quantum computation and quantum information (2001);

Thank you for your attention!

Variational Quantum Eigensolver – VQE

Main hybrid quantum-classical approach, many advantages (see other talks).



Problems, e.g. difficult classical optimizations of parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ (local minima, barren plateaus) and relies on variational principle: $E_0 \leq \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \langle \Psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) | \hat{H} | \Psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rangle$ \rightarrow not applicable to open quantum system and transport problems (non-Hermitian Hamiltonians)

McClean et al., Nature Communications 9, 4812 (2018); winder.ai; primo.ai